<u>Teamsters Local 633/Plaistow Town Employees and Town of Plaistow</u>, Decision No. 2010-062 (Case No. G-0061-2).

The Union filed a WMA petition seeking to represent certain employees of the Town. The Town objected claiming that the proposed unit lacked a community of interest and included supervisory, confidential, seasonal, and on call employees and vacant and non-existing positions.

After excluding confidential, supervisory, and on call employees and non-existing positions, the PELRB granted the petition finding that the remaining employees shared a community of interest and that there was a written majority authorization for the Union to serve as the exclusive representative of the approved bargaining unit

Disclaimer: This summary is intended to provide a brief description of the issues in this case and the outcome. The summary is not a substitute for the decision, should not be relied upon in place of the decision, and should not be cited as controlling or relevant authority in PELRB proceedings or other proceedings.